

Optimal Estimation of Large Toeplitz Covariance Matrices

Harrison H. Zhou
Department of Statistics
Yale University

Joint work with T. Tony Cai and Zhao Ren

Outline

- **Introduction**
- **Motivation from Asymptotic Equivalence Theory**
- **Main Results**
- **Summary**

Introduction

Let $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ be i.i.d. p -variate Gaussian with an unknown Toeplitz covariance matrix $\Sigma_{\mathbf{X}}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & \cdots & \sigma_{-2} & \sigma_{-1} \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_0 & & & \sigma_{-2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_{-2} & & & \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_{-1} & \sigma_{-2} & \cdots & \sigma_1 & \sigma_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Goal: Estimate $\Sigma_{\mathbf{X}}$ based on the sample $\mathbf{X} : 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Introduction – Spectral Density Estimation

The model given by observing

$$\mathbf{X}_1 \sim N(0, \Sigma_{\times})$$

with Σ_{\times} Toeplitz is commonly called

Spectral Density Estimation

\mathbf{X}_1 , a stationary centered Gaussian sequence with spectral density f

where

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_m \exp(imt) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\sigma_0 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_m \cos(mt) \right], \quad t \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

Here we have $\sigma_{-m} = \sigma_m$.

Remark: there is a one-to-one correspondence between f and $\Sigma_{\infty \times \infty}$.

Introduction – Problem of Interest

We want to understand the minimax risk:

$$\inf_{\hat{\Sigma}} \sup_{\mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|^2$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the spectral norm and \mathcal{F} is some parameter space for f .

Motivation from Asymptotic Equivalence Theory

Golubev, Nussbaum and Z. (2010, AoS)

The **Spectral Density Estimation** given by observing each \mathbf{X} is asymptotically equivalent to the **Gaussian white noise**

$$dy(t) = \log f(t)dt + 2\pi^{-1/2} p^{-1/2} dW(t), t \in [-\pi, \pi]$$

under some assumptions on the unknown f .

For example,

$$(M, \epsilon) = \{f : |f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq M |t_1 - t_2| \text{ and } f(t) \geq \epsilon\}.$$

We need $\alpha > 1/2$ to establish the asymptotic equivalence.

Intuitively, the model

$$\mathbf{X} \sim N(0, \Sigma \otimes \mathbf{I}_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

is asymptotically equivalent to

$$dy(t) = \log f(t)dt + 2\pi^{1/2} (np)^{-1/2} dW(t), \quad t \in [-\pi, \pi]$$

possibly under some strong assumptions on the unknown f .

“Equivalent” Losses

Let $\hat{\Sigma}_{\infty \times \infty}$ be a Toeplitz matrix and \hat{f} be the corresponding spectral density.

We know

$$\left\| \hat{\Sigma}_{\infty \times \infty} - \Sigma_{\infty \times \infty} \right\| = 2\pi \left\| \hat{f} - f \right\|_{\infty}$$

based on a well known result

$$\left\| \Sigma_{\infty \times \infty} \right\| = 2\pi \left\| f \right\|_{\infty}$$

where

$$\left\| \Sigma_{\infty \times \infty} \right\| = \sup_{\|v\|_2=1} \left\| \Sigma_{\infty \times \infty} v \right\|_2, \text{ and } \left\| f \right\|_{\infty} = \sup |f(x)|.$$

Intuitively

$$\left\| \hat{\Sigma}_x - \Sigma_x \right\| \sim \left\| \hat{\Sigma}_{\infty \times \infty} - \Sigma_{\infty \times \infty} \right\| ?$$

Thus optimal estimation on f may imply optimal estimation on Σ .

Question

Can we show

$$\inf_{\hat{f}_p} \sup_{F} E \|\hat{f}_p - f\|_p^2 \sim \frac{np}{\log(pn)}^{\frac{2}{2+1}} ?$$

Remark : Classical result on nonparametric function estimation under the sup norm:

$$\inf_{\hat{f}_p} \sup_{F} E \|\hat{f}_p - f\|_1^2 \sim \frac{np}{\log(pn)}^{\frac{2}{2+1}} .$$

Again,

- We don't really have the asymptotic equivalence.
- The following claim is very intuitive

$$\left\| \hat{\Sigma}_x - \Sigma_x \right\| \sim \left\| \hat{\Sigma}_{\infty \times \infty} - \Sigma_{\infty \times \infty} \right\|.$$

Main Results – Lower bound

Show that

$$\inf_{\hat{\Sigma}_{p \times p}} \sup_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}_\times - \Sigma_\times \right\|^2 \geq c \left(\frac{np}{\log(pn)} \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}}$$

for some $c > 0$.

Main Results – Lower bound

A more informative model

Observe $\mathbf{Y}_1 = (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{W}_1)$ with a circulant covariance matrix $\tilde{\Sigma}_{(2 \times 2) \times (2 \times 2)}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & \cdots & \sigma_{-2} & \sigma_{-1} & \sigma_{-2} & \cdots & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_0 & & & \sigma_{-2} & \sigma_{-1} & & & \sigma_2 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_{-2} & & & \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & & & \sigma_{-1} & \sigma_{-2} \\ \sigma_{-1} & \sigma_{-2} & \cdots & \sigma_1 & \sigma_0 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & \sigma_{-2} & \sigma_{-1} \\ & & & \dots & \dots & \dots & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\omega_j = \frac{2\pi j}{2p-1}, \quad |j| \leq p-1$$

and where

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\sigma_0 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sigma_m \cos(mt) \right).$$

It is well known that the spectral decomposition of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{(2p-1) \times (2p-1)}$ can be described as follows:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{(2p-1) \times (2p-1)} = \sum_{|j| \leq p-1} \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{u}_j'$$

where

$$\lambda_j = f(\omega_j), \quad |j| \leq p-1$$

and the eigenvector \mathbf{u}_j doesn't depend on $\sigma_m : 0 \leq m \leq p-1$.

Main Results –Lower bound

The more informative model is *exactly* equivalent to

$$Z = f(\omega) \xi, \quad |j| \leq p-1, \quad \text{Var}(\xi) \asymp 1/n.$$

For this model it is easy to show

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{f} - f \right\|_\infty^2 \geq c \left(\frac{np}{\log(pn)} \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}}.$$

Main Results – Lower bound

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}_x - \Sigma_x \right\| &\geq \sup_{t \in [-1, 1]} \left| (\sigma_0 - \hat{\sigma}_0) + 2 \sum_{=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{m}{p}\right) (\hat{\sigma} - \sigma) e \right| \\ &= \sup_{t \in [-1, 1]} \left| \hat{f}(t) - f(t) \right| + \text{negligible term} \end{aligned}$$

based on a fact

$$\left\| \Sigma_x \right\| \geq \sup_{t \in [-1, 1]} \frac{1}{p} \Sigma_x v, v = \sup_{t \in [-1, 1]} \left| \sigma_0 + 2 \sum_{=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{m}{p}\right) \sigma e \right|$$

where $v = (e, e^2, \dots, e^m)$. Thus

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}_x - \Sigma_x \right\|^2 \geq c \left(\frac{np}{\log(pn)} \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}}.$$

Remark: Need to have some assumptions on (n, p, α) such that the “negligible term” is truly negligible.

Main Results – Upper bound

Show that there is a $\hat{\Sigma}_\times$ such that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}_\times - \Sigma_\times \right\|^2 \leq C \left(\frac{np}{\log(pn)} \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Main Results – Upper bound

Let $\Sigma = [\sigma_{1\{|k| \leq -1\}}]$ be a banding approximation of Σ_{\times} , and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be a banding approximation of the sample covariance matrix $\hat{\Sigma}_{\times}$. Note that $\mathbb{E}\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma$. Let $\hat{\Sigma}$ be a Toeplitz version of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ by taking the average of elements along the diagonal.

We have

$$\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|^2 \leq 2 \|\hat{\Sigma} - \tilde{\Sigma}\|^2 + 2 \|\Sigma - \tilde{\Sigma}\|^2 \leq 8\pi^2 \left(\|\hat{f} - f\|_{\infty}^2 + \|f - \tilde{f}\|_{\infty}^2 \right)$$

since

$$\|\Sigma\| \leq 2\pi \|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{[-\pi, \pi]} \left| \sigma_0 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{-1} \sigma_m \cos(mt) \right|.$$

Main Results – Upper bound

Variance-bias trade-off

Variance part:

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{f} - f \right\|_{\infty}^2 \leq C \frac{k}{np} \log(np).$$

Bias part:

$$\left\| f - \hat{f} \right\|_{\infty}^2 \leq C k^{-2}.$$

Set the optimal k : $k \asymp \left(\frac{1}{\log} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}$ which gives

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}_{\times} - \Sigma_{\times} \right\|^2 \leq C \left(\frac{np}{\log(pn)} \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}}$$

Remark: For simplicity we consider only the case $k \leq p$.

Main Result

Theorem. The minimax risk of estimating the covariance matrix Σ_{\times} over the class \mathcal{F}_{α} satisfies

$$\inf_{\hat{\Sigma}_{p \times p}} \sup_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}_{\times} - \Sigma_{\times} \right\|^2 \asymp \left(\frac{np}{\log(pn)} \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} ?$$

under some assumptions on (n, p, α) .

Remarks

- Full asymptotic equivalence?
- Sharp asymptotic minimaxity?

Summary

- We studied rate-optimality of Toeplitz matrices estimation.
- Le Cam's theory plays important roles.
- Full asymptotic equivalence and sharp asymptotics remain unknown.